JEEVAN JYOT EDUCATIONAL CHARITABLE TRUST
REENA MEHTA COLLEGE
OF ARTS, SCIENCE, COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT STUDIES
Affiliated to University of Mumbai | NAAC Accredited "A" Grade | ISO 9001:2015 | Gujarati Linguistic Minority
Section 2 (f) of the UGC Act 1956

Global Management Theories and Styles (GTMS)

(Bachelor of commerce management studies SEM-II)

By Ms. Kalpana Jaetao

Global Leadership Theories

Global leadership theories explore the qualities, behaviors, and strategies that enable leaders to succeed in diverse and complex international contexts. These theories focus on the ability to lead across cultural, economic, and geopolitical boundaries, addressing the unique challenges of globalization.

1. Charismatic Leadership Theory

Charismatic Leadership Theory is a leadership style that emphasizes the leader's ability to inspire and influence followers through their personality, vision, and communication. Charismatic leaders typically possess qualities that draw people to them, such as confidence, passion, and a compelling vision for the future. The theory suggests that leaders who are able to create strong emotional bonds with followers can drive extraordinary performance and commitment.Charismatic Leadership Theory focuses on the personal attributes and behaviors of a leader that inspire and motivate followers. This type of leadership is often marked by strong emotional connections, visionary thinking, and the ability to foster loyalty and enthusiasm.

Key Features:

- **Visionary Leadership**: The leader communicates a compelling vision of the future.
- **Emotional Appeal**: They connect with followers on a personal and emotional level.
- **Confidence and Determination**: They display unwavering confidence in their goals and inspire followers to believe in their ability to achieve them.
- **Unconventional Behavior**: Charismatic leaders often challenge the status quo with innovative ideas or actions.

Advantages

1.Strong Emotional Connection

Charismatic leaders often create deep emotional bonds with their followers. This connection can lead to increased loyalty, motivation, and commitment from team members. People are more likely to work toward a common vision when they feel emotionally inspired by their leader.

2.Clear Vision and Direction

Charismatic leaders are typically visionaries who can communicate a clear, compelling vision for the future. This can provide direction and purpose for the team, which can improve

3.Increased Motivation and Morale
The energy and enthusiasm that charismatic leaders bring to a group can uplift the entire organization. They are often able to inspire employees to perform at higher levels, pushing them to exceed expectations and go above and beyond in their efforts.

4.Positive Impact on Organizational Culture
A charismatic leader's influence can shape and reinforce a positive organizational culture. The leader’s values, behaviors, and vision can permeate throughout the organization, encouraging a sense of shared purpose and community.

5. Adaptability to Change
Charismatic leaders are often adept at rallying people around new ideas, making them effective in times of organizational change or crisis. Their ability to inspire confidence and optimism can help followers embrace uncertainty and navigate difficult transitions.

Disadvantages

1.Dependency on the Leader

One significant drawback of charismatic leadership is that it can create an over-reliance on the leader. If the leader leaves or fails, the organization might struggle to function effectively, as the followers may lack the confidence or direction needed to continue without the leader’s guidance.

2.Risk of Unilateral Decision-Making

Charismatic leaders can sometimes become so influential and powerful that their decisions go unquestioned. This can lead to a lack of critical feedback and oversight, and may result in poor decision-making, as followers may be too loyal to challenge the leader’s ideas.

3.Lack of Long-Term Sustainability

Charismatic leadership can sometimes be a double-edged sword. While it may lead to short-term gains, it doesn’t always ensure long-term success. If the leader’s charisma wanes, or if they fail to develop the organization’s structures and processes, the group may lose momentum over time.

4. Potential for Ethical Issues
Charismatic leaders may sometimes use their influence in ways that prioritize their personal interests or vision over the needs of the organization or its stakeholders. In extreme cases, this can lead to manipulation, unethical behavior, or cult-like followings.

5. Limited Focus on Team Development
Charismatic leadership can sometimes overshadow the development of other leaders within the organization. Since the leader is often the center of attention, there may be less emphasis on building a strong, collaborative leadership team or empowering others within the organization.

6. Difficulty in Replicating Success
Charismatic leadership is inherently tied to the individual qualities of the leader, making it hard for other leaders to replicate or continue the success achieved by a charismatic figure. If the leader’s charisma is a key driver of success, there may be challenges when that leader is no longer around.

Examples:

- Leaders like Martin Luther King Jr., Mahatma Gandhi, and Steve Jobs exemplify charismatic leadership by inspiring large groups to rally around a shared cause or vision.

Conclusion

Charismatic Leadership Theory emphasizes the power of the leader’s personality and vision in shaping the direction and success of an organization. While it can be a powerful motivator and inspire great loyalty and achievement, it also has potential downsides, including the risk of dependency on the leader, ethical concerns, and the lack of sustainability without strong organizational structures. As with any leadership style, it is important for organizations to be aware of both the strengths and weaknesses and find ways to balance charismatic leadership with other leadership approaches and structural support.

Conclusion

Charismatic leadership emphasizes the leader’s personal appeal and ability to inspire followers, while Likert’s model categorizes leadership styles within organizational systems. A balanced approach that integrates the inspiration of charismatic leadership with the collaboration and trust of **System 4** in Likert’s framework leads to the most sustainable and inclusive leadership outcomes.

2. Likert’s Four System Leadership

Rensis Likert's Four System Leadership model categorizes organizational leadership into four styles, ranging from highly authoritarian to fully participative. The systems are designed to describe the evolution of leadership practices in organizations.

The Four Systems:

1. **System 1: Exploitative Authoritative**
    - Leaders rely on fear, threats, and punishments.
    - Decision-making is centralized, with minimal input from subordinates.
    - Communication is top-down and trust is negligible.

System 2: Benevolent Authoritative

- Leaders maintain control but use rewards and limited consultation to motivate.
- Communication improves slightly, but trust and autonomy remain limited.

System 3: Consultative

- Leaders involve subordinates in decision-making and seek their opinions.
- Communication is two-way, and trust is moderate.
- Motivation comes from both rewards and a sense of involvement.

System 4: Participative

- Leadership is decentralized, and decisions are made collaboratively.
- Trust, communication, and team involvement are at their highest.
- Motivation stems from shared goals and intrinsic satisfaction.

4. Comparison of the Two Frameworks

Aspect	Charismatic Leadership	Likert’s Four Systems
Focus	Personal traits and influence of the leader.	Organizational leadership structure and style.
Communication Style	Relies on emotional and visionary appeal.	Ranges from top-down (System 1) to open and collaborative (System 4).
Decision-Making	Leader-driven, with followers inspired to align with the leader’s vision.	Evolves from centralized (System 1) to participative (System 4).
Motivation	Based on emotional connection, inspiration, and vision.	Ranges from fear/reward-based (System 1) to intrinsic (System 4).
Trust and Engagement	Built through emotional resonance and personal	Progresses from low (System 1) to high (System 4)

The **Managerial Grid Theory**, also known as the **Leadership Grid**, was developed by **Robert Blake and Jane Mouton** in the 1960s. It is a framework used to evaluate leadership styles based on a leader's concern for two key dimensions: **people** and **production**. This theory helps identify a leader's focus and guides them toward more effective leadership practices.

Key Dimensions

1. **Concern for People (Y-axis)**:
    - Reflects the leader's focus on meeting the needs, interests, and well-being of their team members.
    - High concern indicates prioritizing relationships, team morale, and employee satisfaction.
2. **Concern for Production (X-axis)**:
    - Reflects the leader's focus on achieving organizational goals, efficiency, and task accomplishment.
    - High concern indicates prioritizing output, deadlines, and performance metrics.

The Managerial Grid Model

The grid uses a **9x9 scale**, with **1** being the lowest concern and **9** being the highest. Based on these dimensions, Blake and Mouton identified five primary leadership styles:

1. **Impoverished Management (1,1)**:
    - **Low concern for people, low concern for production**.
    - Leaders show minimal effort in both task completion and team well-being.
    - Results in disengaged teams and poor productivity.
    - **Style**: Avoidant and ineffective.
2. **Country Club Management (1,9)**:
    - **High concern for people, low concern for production**.
    - Leaders prioritize relationships and team happiness but neglect organizational goals.
    - Results in a friendly but unproductive work environment.
    - **Style**: Overly accommodating.

3. Authority-(Task) Compliance (9,1):

- **High concern for production, low concern for people**.
- Leaders focus on achieving tasks with little regard for team morale or well-being.
- Results in efficient but potentially demotivated and dissatisfied teams.
- **Style**: Autocratic and task-focused.

4. Middle-of-the-Road Management (5,5):

- **Moderate concern for both people and production**.
- Leaders aim for a balance but may fail to excel in either dimension.
- Results in average performance and team satisfaction.
- **Style**: Compromising but mediocre.

5. Team Management (9,9):

- **High concern for both people and production**.
- Leaders strive for excellence in achieving organizational goals while ensuring team members feel valued and supported.

Strengths of the Managerial Grid Theory

1. **Clarity**: Provides a clear framework to analyze and classify leadership styles.
2. **Development Tool**: Helps leaders identify areas of improvement and strive toward the ideal **Team Management (9,9)** style.
3. **Adaptability**: Applicable across industries and organizational contexts.

Limitations

4. **Simplistic**: Does not account for situational factors or the dynamic nature of leadership.
5. **Lack of Context**: Assumes that the **Team Management (9,9)** style is universally the best, which might not be true in all situations.
6. **Behavior Over Outcomes**: Focuses on leadership behaviors without directly linking them to organizational results.

Conclusion

The Managerial Grid Theory offers a structured approach to understanding leadership behaviors, emphasizing the balance between achieving results and caring for people. While it may oversimplify complex leadership scenarios, it remains a valuable tool for leadership analysis and development.

The ideal **Team Management (9,9)** style highlights the importance of collaboration, fostering high productivity and team satisfaction.

Fiedler's Contingency Theory, developed by **Fred Fiedler** in the 1960s, is a leadership framework that emphasizes the idea that there is no single best way to lead. Instead, the effectiveness of a leader depends on the interaction between their leadership style and the favourability of the situation.

Key Concepts

1. Leadership Style:

- Fiedler proposed that a leader's style is relatively fixed and can be classified as:
  - **Task-Oriented**: Focused on achieving goals, setting clear objectives, and prioritizing productivity.
  - **Relationship-Oriented**: Focused on building strong interpersonal relationships, fostering team cohesion, and supporting team members.
- To determine a leader's style, Fiedler developed the **Least Preferred Co-worker (LPC) Scale**:
  - Leaders rate their least preferred co-worker on a scale of positive to negative traits.
  - A **high LPC score** suggests a relationship-oriented leader, while a **low LPC score** indicates a task-oriented leader.

2. Situational Favourability:

- The effectiveness of a leader depends on how favourable the situation is for their leadership. Fiedler identified three key situational factors:
  - **Leader-Member Relations**:
    - The degree of trust, respect, and confidence between the leader and team members.
    - Strong relations make the situation more favourable.
  - **Task Structure**:
    - The clarity and specificity of the task.
    - Well-structured tasks are more favourable.
  - **Position Power**:
    - The leader’s authority to reward, punish, or influence team members.

Strong position power is more favourable.

3. Matching Leadership Style to Situational Favourability:

- Fiedler argued that the effectiveness of a leader depends on the match between their leadership style and the situation's

- **Task-Oriented Leaders**: Perform best in highly favorable or highly unfavorable situations.
- **Relationship-Oriented Leaders**: Perform best in moderately favorable situations

Situational Combinations

The theory identifies **eight combinations** of situational factors, ranging from highly favorable to highly unfavorable:

Situation	Leader-Member Relations	Task Structure	Position Power	Favorability
1	Good	High	Strong	Highly Favorable
2	Good	High	Weak	Favorable
3	Good	Low	Strong	Moderately Favorable
4	Good	Low	Weak	Moderately Favorable
5	Poor	High	Strong	Moderately Favorable
6	Poor	High	Weak	Moderately Favorable

Strengths of Fiedler's Theory

1. **Practical Guidance**: Helps leaders identify situations where their style is most effective.
2. **Situational Flexibility**: Emphasizes that leadership effectiveness is contingent on the context.

Empirical Support: Research backs the idea that leadership effectiveness is influenced by situational factors.

Limitations

3. **Inflexible Leadership Styles**: The theory assumes that leaders cannot easily change their style, which may not always hold true.
4. **Over-Simplification**: Real-world situations may be more complex than the factors outlined.

Application of Fiedler's Theory

1. **Leadership Selection**: Organizations can use the theory to match leaders to appropriate roles based on their style and situational favourability.
2. **Team Building**: Helps leaders understand how to adapt tasks, relationships, or their authority to improve favourability.
3. **Leadership Development**: Encourages leaders to focus on situations where they can thrive rather than trying to adapt an unsuitable style.

Conclusion

Fiedler's Contingency Theory emphasize something that leadership effectiveness is not universal but depends on the interplay between the leader's style and the situation. By recognizing and adapting to situational factors, organizations and leaders can maximize their success. The theory's focus on context remains a

1. Tannenbaum and Schmidt’s Leadership Continuum Theory

Tannenbaum and Schmidt developed the **Leadership Continuum Theory** in 1958, which emphasizes the range of leadership styles available to a leader. Their model presents leadership as a continuum between two extremes: **boss-centered (autocratic)** and **subordinate-centered (democratic)** leadership, highlighting that leadership style should be chosen based on the situation.

Key Features:

1. **Leadership Styles**:
    - The continuum ranges from a leader exercising full control and decision-making to delegating decisions entirely to subordinates.
    - **Styles along the continuum**:
        - **Tells**: The leader makes decisions and announces them to the team.
        - **Sells**: The leader makes decisions but explains and persuades the team.
        - **Consults**: The leader presents ideas and seeks

2. Factors Influencing Leadership Style:

- **Leader Characteristics**: Personality, values, experience, and confidence.
- **Subordinate Characteristics**: Maturity, competence, motivation, and willingness to take responsibility.
- **Situational Characteristics**: Organizational culture, nature of the task, and external pressures.

3. Dynamic Leadership:

- Leaders should move along the continuum based on the demands of the situation, the needs of their team, and their own leadership capabilities.

Strengths:

- **Flexibility**: Encourages leaders to adapt their style to fit the context.
- **Practical Application**: Provides a framework for balancing control and delegation.

Limitations:

- **Over-Simplification**: Does not provide specific tools for assessing when to shift styles.

2. Hersey and Blanchard’s Situational Leadership Theory

Developed by Paul Hersey and Ken Blanchard in the 1970s, the **Situational Leadership Theory (SLT)** posits that there is no single "best" leadership style. Instead, effective leadership depends on the **maturity level of the followers** (their competence and commitment) and the situation.

Key Features:

1. **Leadership Styles**: Hersey and Blanchard identified four leadership styles based on two dimensions:
    - **Task Behavior**: Extent to which the leader provides direction and sets goals.
    - **Relationship Behavior**: Extent to which the leader provides emotional support and fosters relationships.

The four styles are:

- **S1: Directing (High Task, Low Relationship)**:
    - Leader provides clear instructions and closely supervises performance.

- **S2: Coaching (High Task, High Relationship)**:
  - Leader provides direction while supporting and encouraging input.
  - Suitable for teams with some competence but needing motivation.
- **S3: Supporting (Low Task, High Relationship)**:
  - Leader shares decision-making responsibilities and fosters confidence.
  - Suitable for competent teams needing morale and confidence boosts.
- **S4: Delegating (Low Task, Low Relationship)**:
  - Leader hands over responsibility and monitors performance at a distance.
  - Suitable for highly competent and motivated teams.

2. Follower Maturity Levels: SLT categorizes followers into four maturity levels:

- **M1 (Low Maturity)**: Low competence, low commitment.
- **M2 (Moderate Maturity)**: Some competence, low commitment.
- **M3 (High Maturity)**: High competence, variable commitment.
- **M4 (Very High Maturity)**: High competence, high commitment.

3. Matching Leadership Style to Maturity:

Leaders should adapt their style based on their followers' readiness and the nature of the task.

Strengths:

- **Adaptability**: Encourages leaders to adjust to their team's changing needs.
- **Focus on Development**: Promotes building team competence and confidence.
- **Widely Used**: Applicable in diverse organizational settings.

Limitations:

Comparison Between the Two Theories

Aspect	Tannenbaum and Schmidt	Hersey and Blanchard
Focus	Leadership style flexibility along a continuum.	Leadership style based on follower maturity.
Key Factors	Leader traits(Personal qualities), team traits, and situational needs.	Follower competence, commitment, and task needs.
Leadership Styles	Ranges from autocratic to participative.	Four styles: Directing, Coaching, Supporting, Delegating.
Adaptability	Encourages dynamic adjustment along the continuum.	Requires matching leadership style to follower maturity.
Follower Development	Less focus on team development.	Focuses on developing team competence and readiness.

Conclusion

- **Tannenbaum and Schmidt’s Leadership Theory** emphasizes flexibility along a continuum from autocratic to participative leadership, making it valuable for adapting to varying levels of team involvement.
- **Hersey and Blanchard’s Situational Leadership Theory** focuses on tailoring leadership styles to the maturity levels of followers, providing a developmental perspective for building effective teams.

Both frameworks highlight the importance of situational adaptability, making them complementary tools for effective leadership.

1. Indian Management Style

Indian management is deeply influenced by the country's cultural diversity, spiritual traditions, and economic environment.

Key Features:

1. **Relationship-Oriented**:
   - Emphasizes personal relationships and trust over formal contracts.
   - Leaders often act as paternal figures, fostering loyalty and support.
2. **Hierarchy and Authority**:
   - Organizations tend to be hierarchical, with respect for seniority and authority.
   - Decision-making is often top-down but increasingly participative in modern firms.
3. **Adaptability and Resourcefulness**:
   - Indian managers excel in finding innovative solutions within resource constraints, often referred to as "jugaad" (frugal innovation).

4. Spiritual and Ethical Values:

- Many Indian organizations emphasize values like humility, harmony, and community well-being, influenced by spiritual and religious philosophies.

5. Family Influence:

- In family-owned businesses, leadership and decision-making often stay within the family.

2. Japanese Management Style

Japanese management reflects the country's collectivist culture, focus on long-term planning, and attention to detail.

Key Features:

1. **Consensus-Based Decision Making (Ringi System)**:
   - Decisions are made collectively, involving input from all levels of the organization.
   - This fosters a sense of ownership and reduces resistance to implementation.
2. **Lifetime Employment**:
   - Traditionally, companies provided lifetime employment, fostering loyalty and long-term commitment.
   - Though changing in modern times, loyalty to the organization remains high.
3. **Group Orientation**:
   - Emphasis on teamwork and harmony over individual achievements.
   - Employees are encouraged to prioritize the organization's goals over personal ambitions.

4. Continuous Improvement (Kaizen):

- Focus on incremental improvements in processes, products, and work culture.

5. Respect for Seniority:

- Promotions and rewards are often based on tenure and experience rather than merit alone.

3. American Management Style

The American style of management is shaped by the country's values of individualism, competitiveness, and innovation.

Key Features:

1. **Individualism**:
   - Encourages self-reliance and individual accountability.
   - Rewards and recognition are often based on personal performance.
2. **Result-Oriented**:
   - Strong focus on achieving measurable outcomes and meeting performance targets.
   - Short-term profitability is often prioritized over long-term strategies.
3. **Flat Hierarchies**:
   - Organizations often have less rigid hierarchies, encouraging open communication and innovation.

4. **Decentralized Decision Making**:
    - Managers delegate authority, empowering employees to make decisions at their level.
5. **Diversity and Inclusion**:
    - Emphasis on creating diverse and inclusive workplaces, driven by societal values and regulations.

4. European Management Style

European management varies across countries but generally reflects a mix of collaboration, formality, and work-life balance.

Key Features:

1. **Collaborative Leadership**:
   - Managers often seek consensus and collaboration, valuing employee input.
   - Team-oriented approaches are common.
2. **Work-Life Balance**:
   - High priority on work-life balance, with policies supporting flexible working hours, paid leave, and family time.
   - Particularly evident in Northern Europe.
3. **Formal and Structured**:
   - Decision-making and processes tend to follow formal, systematic approaches, especially in Central Europe.
   - In Southern Europe, management may be more personal and relationship-driven.

1. **Focus on Quality**:
    - Emphasis on producing high-quality goods and services, often associated with craftsmanship (e.g., Germany and Italy).
2. **Social Responsibility**:
    - Strong emphasis on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and sustainable business practices.

Aspect	Indian	Japanese	American	European
Focus	Relationships, ethics, and adaptability	Group harmony and long-term goals	Individual performance and results	Collaboration, quality, and work-life balance
Decision-Making	Top-down but evolving to participative	Consensus-based	Decentralized	Collaborative and formal
Hierarchy	Hierarchical	Seniority-focused	Flat	Varies (formal in Central Europe; less formal in the North)
Work Ethic	Flexible and innovative	Disciplined and detail-oriented	Competitive and ambitious	Structured, with focus on quality
Employee Commitment	Moderate	High (traditional lifetime employment)	Based on performance and rewards	Moderate to high, with focus on well-being
Leadership Style	Paternalistic and relational	Participative and team-oriented	Results-driven and innovative	Participative and quality-focused

Conclusion

Each management style reflects the cultural, social, and economic context of its origin. Understanding these styles can help organizations navigate global business environments effectively. For example:

- Japanese management excels in fostering teamwork and long-term planning.
- American management drives innovation and individual achievement.
- European management balances collaboration and quality with work-life harmony.
- Indian management emphasizes adaptability and relationship-building in dynamic environments.

THANK YOU